Newly diagnosed patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A clinical description of those with moderate to severe depressive symptoms
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Abstract

Objectives: The aims of this observational study were to 1) accrue newly diagnosed patients with advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) awaiting the start of first-line treatment and identify those with moderate to severe depressive symptoms and, 2) provide a clinical description of the multiple, co-occurring psychological and behavioral difficulties and physical symptoms that potentially exacerbate and maintain depressive symptoms.

Materials and methods: Patients with stage IV NSCLC (N = 186) were enrolled in an observational study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03199651) and completed the American Society of Clinical Oncology-recommended screening measure for depression (Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [PHQ-9]). Individuals with none/mild (n = 119; 64 %), moderate (n = 52; 28 %), and severe (n = 15; 8 %) depressive symptoms were identified. Patients also completed measures of hopelessness, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) symptoms, stress, illness perceptions, functional status, and symptoms.

Results: Patients with severe depressive symptoms reported concomitant feelings of hopelessness (elevating risk for suicidal behavior), anxiety symptoms suggestive of GAD, and traumatic, cancer-specific stress. They perceived lung cancer as consequential for their lives and...
not controllable with treatment. Pain and multiple severe symptoms were present along with substantial functional impairment. Patients with moderate depressive symptoms had generally lower levels of disturbance, though still substantial. The most salient differences were low GAD symptom severity and fewer functional impairments for those with moderate symptoms.

Conclusions: Depressive symptoms of moderate to severe levels co-occur in a matrix of clinical levels of anxiety symptoms, traumatic stress, impaired functional status, and pain and other physical symptoms. All of the latter factors have been shown, individually and collectively, to contribute to the maintenance or exacerbation of depressive symptoms. As life-extending targeted and immunotherapy use expands, prompt identification of patients with moderate to severe depressive symptoms, referral for evaluation, and psychological/behavioral treatment are key to maximizing treatment outcomes and quality of life for individuals with advanced NSCLC.
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1. Introduction

Many facts about lung cancer are well-known: lung cancer persists as the number one cause of all cancer-related mortality worldwide [1]. The most prevalent type, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), accounts for 85% of all cases, and many individuals present with stage IV disease (44%), with a 5-year survival estimate of 4.2% [2]. What may be less well-known is that the case is compelling for patients with lung cancer being the most psychologically disabled of all cancer groups [3,4]. In fact, SEER registry data (3.5 million patients; 1973–2002) show the standardized suicide mortality ratio for patients with lung cancer is the highest of all cancer types, 5.74 (95% CI = 5.30–6.22), with hazard ratios of 6.04 (95% CI = 5.54–6.57) for men and 4.18 (95% CI = 3.27–5.27) for women [5].

Studies comparing patients with cancer find that those with lung cancer have the greatest prevalence of mood disorders (est. 18%) and anxiety disorders (est. 19%) [6-8]. Studies assessing only patients with advanced NSCLC at diagnosis/prior to treatment find estimates of “probable cases” of depression to be 9% in a patient sample (N = 461) from the United Kingdom [9], 17.9% in a Canadian sample (N = 597; all types/stages) [6], and 32.9% in a sample (N = 82) from Mexico [10]. Additional cases of “sub-clinical” or “borderline” symptom levels have ranged from 13% [9] to 35.3% [6]. Studies from the United States have predominantly assessed patients with lung cancer in the early weeks of treatment or thereafter and reveal estimates similar to those when patients are assessed at diagnosis. In them, rates of “severe” depressive symptoms have ranged from 14% [11] to 41% [12,13].

Irrespective of the occurrence of depressive symptoms, the days of diagnosis and awaiting treatment are unique. Reliably, cancer stress peaks during this period [14,15] and is associated with biobehavioral processes relevant to disease course, such as immunosuppression [16] and inflammation [17-19]. A meta-analytic study revealed stress assessed at some time after lung cancer diagnosis to heighten risk for premature cancer death (n = 23 studies; hazard ratio = 1.17; 95% CI = 1.03–1.34) [20]. Potential contributors
to the latter effects are the covariation of heightened stress with physical symptom exacerbation [21-26] and depressive symptoms [23,27].

With foundational data such as these, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) recommended screening as the primary mechanism to determine the level/classification of symptom severity and asserted that screening should begin at diagnosis or start of treatment [28]. To date, the implementation of screening and use of the ASCO-recommended measures among patients with NSCLC is unknown. A comprehensive look at the characteristics of those with moderate to severe psychological symptoms among patients with NSCLC is needed. Even when patients are screened “positive,” the complete picture of difficulties and impairments that are likely to accompany moderate to severe depressive symptoms is not clear. Research with other cancer types would suggest that there are co-occurring stressors and cognitive and behavioral disruptors, and they too may impede cancer patients’ coping with the diagnosis and decision-making as do depressive symptoms [29-32].

For this observational study, there were two aims. First, newly diagnosed patients with advanced-stage NSCLC who were awaiting the determination and start of treatment were accrued and administered the ASCO-recommended screening measure for depression (Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [PHQ-9] [28,33]) and supplementary measures. Individuals with symptoms of moderate (scores 15–19) and severe (scores 20–27) depressive levels were identified. Having identified these patients, the preeminent aim was to detail and discuss the co-occurring negative emotions (stress), impairments (quality of life, functional status, symptoms), and negative perceptions of one’s life and illness that co-occurred. To do this was significant because the latter factors foster the maintenance of depressive symptoms and, conversely, depressive symptoms increase the frequency/severity of these sources of impairment and disability [31,32,34-38]. Moving beyond PHQ-9 classification, the goal was provision of clinical descriptions for providers and researchers alike of the common psychological, behavioral, and symptom comorbidities experienced by such individuals, ones that, along with depressive symptoms, may impair patients’ coping and functioning when they are to make choices and begin lung cancer treatment [39].

2. Materials & methods

From June 2017 to August 2019, individuals were accrued from the Thoracic Oncology clinics of an NCI-designated Comprehensive Cancer Center (CCC) for participation in an observational study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03199651; see Fig. 1 for study flow). Consent was completed face-to-face by research personnel in the clinic at the time of first appointment with a thoracic oncologist. Within two weeks of enrollment, patients were contacted by telephone by trained interviewers who conducted the assessment of patient-reported outcomes (see below). Patients were also given a “hard copy” measure booklet to follow along with the interview and item responses. Each patient received $15 for participation.

2.1. Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: newly diagnosed stage IV NSCLC with pathological confirmation; any ECOG performance status and with any comorbidity; age > 18 years;
English-speaking; and willing to provide access to medical records, provide biospecimens, and respond to self-report measures either in-person or by telephone interview. Exclusion criteria were as follows: patients to be treated with definitive chemo-radiotherapy; individuals age < 18 years; receiving treatment for advanced lung cancer for over one month before enrollment; and presence of disabling hearing, vision, or psychiatric impairments preventing consent or completion of self-report measures in English.

2.2. Variables and measures

2.2.1. Psychological symptoms—Three measures were used. 1) The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9 [33]) is a 9-item self-report scale that assesses the frequency of symptoms of major depressive disorder, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition (DSM-IV [40]). Referencing the past two weeks, items were rated on a 4-point Likert scale (0=not at all to 3=nearly every day) and summed for a total score ranging from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating higher depressive symptom severity. Cut-off values for the PHQ-9 are none/mild = 1–7, moderate = 8–14, moderate to severe = 15–19, and severe = 20–27. (Note: In this manuscript, moderate to severe and severe groups are combined and labeled “severe”). Internal consistency reliability was $\alpha = .806$. Responses to two items were considered: a) number of individuals endorsing the suicidal ideation item (thinking one would be better off dead; thoughts of hurting oneself); b) score on an item assessing the impact of the depressive symptoms on working, home activities, and getting along with other people, rated from 0 (not difficult) to 3 (extremely difficult).

2) The Beck Hopelessness Scale (BHS [41]) was included, as it is a correlate of suicide [42,43]. Using 20 true–false items, the BHS assesses feelings and expectations about one’s future life and loss of motivation. Scores range from 0 to 20, with the following cut-offs: normal = 0–3, mild = 4–8, moderate = 9–14, and severe = 15–20. Normative data suggest a mean of 3.1 in non-illness samples [44], and scores ≥ 9 are associated with suicidal risk [42]. Internal consistency reliability was $\alpha=0.805$.

3) The Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7 [45]) is a 7-item measure that assesses the frequency of symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) as defined by the DSM-IV [40]. Items were rated on a 4-point Likert scale (0=not at all to 3=nearly every day) and summed for a total score ranging from 0 to 21 with the following cut-offs: none/mild = 0–9, moderate = 10–14, and moderate to severe/severe = 15–21. Internal consistency reliability was $\alpha = .874$. A final item assessed the impact of the symptoms on working, home activities, and getting along with other people, rated from 0 (not difficult at all) to 3 (extremely difficult).

2.2.2. Cancer stress and perceptions of lung cancer—Two measures were used. 1) The Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R [46]), a 22-item measure, is widely used to assess subjective stress caused by traumatic events and has been adapted to measure cancer-specific stress [17, 47]. Patients rate the frequency of intrusive thoughts (8 items; e.g., “Other things kept making me think about cancer”), avoidant thoughts and behaviors (8 items; e.g., “I tried not to talk about it”), and hyperarousal symptoms (6 items; e.g., “I felt irritable and
angry”) over the past seven days on a 5-point Likert scale (0 = not at all to 4 = often). Total scores range 0–88, with higher scores indicating more cancer-specific stress. Internal consistency reliability was α = .902.

2) The Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (BIPQ [48]) is a self-report measure used to assess eight mental representations of one’s illness (identity [symptoms], consequences, timeline, personal control, treatment control, coherence [understanding], concern, and emotional response), with one question for each. Patients responded to each item using a 0- to 10-point Likert scale, with higher scores reflecting stronger endorsement of the illness representation. As there are no cut-off values, scores were summarized by tertiles, with scores 0.00–3.33 viewed as low, 3.34–6.66 as moderate, and 6.67–10.00 as high.

2.2.3. Physical symptoms—Two measures were used. 1) The lung cancer-specific EORTC Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLQ-LC13 [49]) was used to assess health-related quality of life [50,51]. The QLQ-LC13 contains nine items that assess individual symptoms (e.g., pain, coughing) and one three-item symptom scale that assesses dyspnea. Items are rated on a 4-point Likert scale (1=not at all to 4=very much), and each is transformed to a score ranging 0–100, with lower scores indicating better health-related quality of life and lower symptoms. 2) Additionally, 20 common symptoms and treatment side effects (e.g., nausea, infection) were assessed. Rating scales were adapted from the QLQ-LC13, with items rated on a 4-point Likert scale (1=not at all to 4=very much). Scoring and interpretation are identical to the QLQ-LC13.

2.2.4. Functional status and health evaluation—The EQ-5D [52] assesses five health status categories, with three relevant to functional status, i.e., mobility, self-care, and engagement in usual activities, with each rated on a 5-point Likert scale of performance difficulty (1=none, 2=slight, 3=moderate, 4=severe, 5=unable to perform). Population norms for the US have been published for the EQ-5D, and indicate that adults similar in age (55–64 years) have at least some problems with mobility=26.4 %, self-care=5.9 %, usual activities=27.0 %, pain/discomfort=58.8 %, and anxiety/depression= 31.3 % [53]. For health evaluation, the EQ VAS [52] is a single item asking the patient to rate his/her overall health, with anchors of 0 (the worst health you can imagine) and 100 (the best health you can imagine). The mean EQ VAS for adults aged 55–64 is 76.9 ± 21.0 [53].

2.2.5. Social connections—The Social Network Index (SNI [54]) is a 16-item measure of social contacts and involvement. Scores range from 1 to 12, with higher scores indicating more social connection; alternatively, social connection categories can be used: low (1), medium (2–5), medium-high (6–7), and high (8–12) social connectedness.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, means, standard deviations, and ranges, were used to summarize all sociodemographic and disease-related characteristics and measure responses. To determine depressive symptom severity groups, established cut-offs for the PHQ-9 were used [33]: none/mild (1–7), moderate (8–14), and severe (15–27). Analyses tested the hypothesis that overall group differences would be found, with negative
functioning/symptoms outcomes ordered such that severe > moderate > none/mild. Analysis of variance for continuous measures was used to test for overall group differences, and when significant, Tukey’s tests were used for follow-up tests [55]. χ² tests adjusted by Holm method were used to compare group differences for categorical measures [56].

3. Results

Two hundred and forty-two patients were enrolled in the study, 191 completed the baseline questionnaires (79 %), and 5 subsequently withdrew consent and were excluded from the analyses, yielding a final sample of 186 patients (77 % of total enrolled; see Fig. 1 for study flow). Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are provided in Table 1. The sample was primarily older (M age = 63 years, SD = 12, range = 27–92), male (55 %), Caucasian (85 %), at least high school-educated (87 %), and married (58 %). Patients were diagnosed a median of 32 days before completing their baseline questionnaire, predominantly with adenocarcinoma (73 %). The depressive symptom (PHQ-9) severity groups included 119 (64 %) patients with none/mild depressive symptoms, 52 (28 %) with moderate depressive symptoms, and 15 (8 %) with severe depressive symptoms. Table 2 provides summary statistics for the psychological, behavioral, and symptom measures for each depressive symptom severity group, with significant differences between groups noted. Fig. 2 provides a graphical depiction of the percentage of patients in each severity group endorsing common symptoms/signs (depressive, pain, respiratory, eye/ear, gastrointestinal/thoracic, nervous system, skin/general), with group differences again noted.

3.1. Patients with severe depressive symptoms (n = 15)

3.1.1. Psychological symptoms—Fifteen patients (8.1 %) with newly diagnosed NSCLC scored at the severe depressive symptom level on the PHQ-9 (scores 15–27 of 27 possible; M = 18.9, SD = 3.4; see Table 2). Of them, all (100 %) reported depressed mood and 80.0 % reported anhedonia more days than not in the preceding two weeks. Patients’ responses on the QLQ-LC13 symptom questionnaire showed a majority reported experiencing vegetative and cognitive symptoms at level/frequency of “quite a bit” or “very much” (see Fig. 2), including 93.3 % with fatigue, 86.7 % with weakness, 73.3 % with appetite change, 66.7 % with insomnia, and 60.0 % with concentration impairment. Nearly all (93.3 %) reported that their depressive symptoms made it difficult to do their work, take care of things at home, and/or get along with other people. Patients with severe depressive symptoms also exhibited high levels of hopelessness (M = 8.1, SD = 4.2), with 46.7 % endorsing moderate or severe levels (scores 9–20). One third (33.3 %) reported suicidal ideation. A large majority of patients with severe depressive symptoms (73.3 %) also had moderate to severe/severe anxiety comorbidity (GAD-7 M = 15.5, SD = 5.2). Additionally, most (86.7 %) reported that their anxiety symptoms interfered with their occupational, household, and/ or social functioning.

3.1.2. Cancer stress and perceptions of lung cancer—Patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC and severe depressive symptoms reported extreme levels of cancer-related stress (M = 44.1, SD = 16.4). Patients with severe depressive symptoms, relative to all others, perceived the highest level of symptom burden (identity; M = 8.7, SD = 1.4), the
greatest consequences for their lives ($M = 8.7$, $SD = 2.7$), the greatest emotional impact (emotional response; $M = 8.1$, $SD = 2.0$), the least personal control over their cancer ($M = 3.0$, $SD = 1.7$), and the least confidence that treatment would help (treatment control; $M = 6.9$, $SD = 2.9$). They reported the highest possible level of concern about their cancer ($M = 9.7$, $SD = 0.7$). These patients were no different than those with moderate and none/mild depressive symptoms in believing that they have a high level of understanding of their cancer (coherence; $M = 7.4$, $SD = 2.1$) and that their illness would last a long time ($M = 7.2$, $SD = 2.3$).

3.1.3. Physical symptoms— Patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC and severe depressive symptoms reported multiple physical symptoms of high intensity (see Fig. 2), including “quite a bit” or “very much” pain (73.3 %), loss of taste (53.3 %), dyspnea (53.3 %), and/or cough (46.7 %).

3.1.4. Functional status and health evaluation— Functional status was significantly impaired for those with severe depressive symptoms. The percentage reporting moderate or severe functional issues was 100 % for usual activities (e.g., work, study, housework, family or leisure activities), 73.3 % for mobility, and 33.3 % for self-care. In line with this level of disability, patients’ average self-rated health evaluation was $40.1 \pm 16.5$.

3.1.5. Social connections and other resources— These patients with severe depressive symptoms reported medium social connectedness ($M = 2.5$, $SD = 2.7$). Also, 46.7 % reported being unmarried. These patients reported limited financial resources, with 33.3 % earning an average annual income below the state poverty threshold for a family of four in the state they resided. Additionally, only 13.3 % were employed at the time of diagnosis.

3.2. Patients with moderate depressive symptoms ($n = 52$)

3.2.1. Psychological symptoms— Fifty-two patients (28 %) scored at the level of moderate depressive symptoms on the PHQ-9 (scores 8–14 of 27 possible; $M = 10.0$, $SD = 1.9$; see Table 2). Within this group, 15.4 % reported depressed mood and 34.6 % reported anhedonia more days than not in the preceding two weeks. Like those with severe depressive symptoms, patients in this group reported fatigue as their most common vegetative/cognitive depressive symptom (see Fig. 2), with 61.5 % reporting that this symptom affected them “quite a bit” or “very much.” Rates for other vegetative and cognitive symptoms of depression were 42.3 % for insomnia, 38.5 % for weakness, 26.9 % for appetite change, and 21.2 % for concentration impairment. The majority (61.5 %) reported that their depressive symptoms led to difficulties with occupational, household, and/or social functioning.

Patients in this group had lower hopelessness scores ($M = 5.1$, $SD = 3.0$) than patients with severe depressive symptoms, but still a substantial percentage (17.3 %) had moderate to severe levels. Two individuals reported suicidal ideation. Of clinical importance, depression-anxiety comorbidity was notably lower, with only 11.5 % endorsing moderate to severe/severe anxiety symptoms ($M = 7.2$, $SD = 4.7$), although approximately half (55.8 %) reported anxiety-related impairment.
3.2.2. **Cancer stress and perceptions of lung cancer**—Though lower than the extreme scores of those with severe depressive symptoms, patients with moderate depressive symptoms endorsed a high level of cancer-related stress ($M = 21.8$, $SD = 12.8$). Patients with moderate depressive symptoms had similar illness perceptions to those with none/mild depressive symptoms in viewing their illness as moderately consequential for their lives ($M = 6.3$, $SD = 2.9$) and perceiving a moderate level of personal control over their cancer ($M = 5.1$, $SD = 2.9$) and a high level of treatment control ($M = 8.7$, $SD = 1.6$). However, they differed significantly from the none/mild depressive symptom group by reporting greater symptom burden (identity; $M = 5.6$, $SD = 2.9$) and viewing their lung cancer as having a greater emotional impact (emotional response; $M = 5.7$, $SD = 3.1$). They had a high level of concern about their cancer ($M = 8.1$, $SD = 2.6$).

3.2.3. **Physical symptoms**—Relative to those with severe depressive symptoms, patients with moderate depressive symptoms reported less intense (although still troublesome) physical symptoms (see Fig. 2), with fewer individuals endorsing “quite a bit” or “very much” pain (59.6 %), cough (32.7 %), and loss of taste (25.0 %). The groups differed substantially in their reports of dyspnea: 7.7 %, versus 53.3 % for those with severe depressive symptoms.

3.2.4. **Functional status and health evaluation**—Functional impairment was also a noteworthy problem for patients with moderate depressive symptoms, but the levels of impairments were lower than those reported by the severely depressed group. Among those with moderate depressive symptoms, 38.5 % reported at least moderate impairment in usual activities, 32.7 % in mobility, and 7.7 % in self-care. These patients rated their overall health as significantly better than that of the severe depressive symptom group ($M = 58.8$; $SD = 21.9$).

3.2.5. **Social connections and other resources**—Patients with moderate depressive symptoms reported a medium level of social connection ($M = 3.9$, $SD = 2.5$). More of these patients (51.9 %) were unmarried. Average annual income was similar, with 28.8 % reporting levels below the state poverty threshold for a family of four, despite a higher rate of employment (25.0 %) at the time of assessment.

4. **Discussion**

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO [28]) recommends that all patients with cancer be evaluated for symptoms of depression and anxiety at diagnosis/start of treatment. This study is an exemplar of the guideline’s edicts, i.e., using the recommended self-report measures to identify patients with moderate to severe depressive symptom levels. Beyond that, the aim was to expand providers’ and researchers’ perspective on the co-occurring difficulties and impairments that newly diagnosed advanced NSCLC patients with moderate to severe depressive symptoms are experiencing. That is, these patients’ depressive symptoms co-occur in a matrix which included clinical levels of anxiety symptoms, traumatic stress, impaired functional status, and significant pain and other physical symptoms. All of the latter factors have been shown—individually and collectively—to contribute to the exacerbation and/or maintenance of depressive symptoms. As a group,
cancer patients’ stress declines and moods improve with the start of treatment [14,15,57,58], and this general observation would likely apply to the majority of the sample (64 %). However, its applicability to the patients described here, as discussed below, is limited.

Much evidence points to the likelihood that the patients reporting a severe level of depressive symptoms (n = 15) would be diagnosed with major depressive disorder (MDD). Positive responses to PHQ-9 items are endorsements of the DSM criteria symptoms for MDD [33,59]. Uniformly, patients endorsed depressed mood and/or anhedonia (the presence of either is necessary for a diagnosis of MDD [40]) as well as vegetative/cognitive symptoms at high rates (appetite changes [73 %], trouble sleeping [67 %], and trouble concentrating [60 %]). Virtually all patients in this group (93 %) felt their depressive symptoms made it difficult to work, take care of things at home, and/or get along with other people. Additionally, these patients’ levels of hopelessness were notably higher than those found in non-illness comparison samples [60]. Nearly half (47 %) reported moderate or severe hopelessness, signified by BHS scores ≥ 9; for comparison, Overholser et al. [61] and Fisher et al. [62] reported mean BHS scores of 10–11 among depressed psychiatric patients. Hopelessness is a particularly important response in this cancer group, as it predicts depressive symptoms throughout the disease trajectory [63] and suicidal ideation [64]. Indeed, a substantial proportion—one third—of patients with severe depressive symptoms in the sample reported suicidal ideation.

Importantly, once diagnosed, MDD is a psychiatric disorder which continues for months and may not remit [65-67]. Even with psychotherapy and/or pharmacotherapy, significant symptom remission is not typically observed until after 2–3 months of continuous treatment [68]. Quick resolution of symptoms is also unlikely because of the added vulnerabilities of the patients with severe symptom levels. These are vulnerabilities found previously to worsen and/or maintain depressive symptoms, even in the context of depression treatment.

First, more than 70 % of patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC and severe depressive symptoms also had moderate to severe GAD symptoms. Depressive symptoms are known to co-occur with anxiety, with the majority (60 %) of those with a depressive disorder also having an anxiety disorder [69]. GAD worry or fear can be particularly toxic for lung cancer patients, as severe anxiety can worsen dyspnea and induce panic [70-72], and GAD can impede decision-making and participation in or continuation of treatment [10,73].

Second, the level of cancer-specific stress patients with severe depressive symptoms reported was extraordinary, far exceeding the IES-R cutoff of 24 for likely diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [74], and so high that a search of the IES-R literature assessing patients with cancer at diagnosis (e.g., chronic lymphocytic leukemia, M = 13.6 [18]) found none comparable [75-79]. Likely contributing to their stress [80,81], patients with severe depressive symptoms, relative to all others, also endorsed the most negative perceptions of their illness. Appreciating patients’ illness perceptions at the time of diagnosis is important, as negative illness perceptions are associated with patients coping less effectively, especially when having to make treatment choices [82,83]. Patients with negative illness perceptions are more likely to delay seeking treatment [84], or conversely, pursue aggressive therapies at end of life that have detrimental effects on quality of life [85].
Third, while it is well-known that advanced-stage patients often experience significant physical symptoms [86], these data demonstrate that patients with severe depressive symptoms are particularly burdened, reporting their health status ($M = 40.1$) to be nearly two standard deviations below the US population norm ($M = 76.9$) for those of similar age (55–64) [53]. These patients reported the highest levels of dyspnea and cough—known correlates of poor quality of life [87] and functional impairment [88,89] in lung cancer patients. Upwards of 70% of the patients reported one or more type of pain, suggesting a significant need for pain management (or referral for) at the point of diagnosis. Further, the co-occurrence of fatigue, weakness, and appetite changes for more than 70% of the patients may be suggestive of cachexia. Oncology providers may not fully appreciate patients’ symptom experiences, as other data suggest a strikingly low concordance (i.e., 38% agreement) between physician-rated and patient-reported lung cancer symptom burden [87,90].

Fourth, more than 30% of these patients with severe depressive symptoms came with self-care impairments. Considering all the functional impairment data, the likelihood of additional patients becoming self-care impaired in the short term would be high. Functional status has obvious implications for day-to-day quality of life, but is additionally a prognostic factor in patients with lung cancer, predicting relative risk of death [91]. Data such as these illustrate the importance of determining patients’ functional status early and following with interventions, e.g., occupational therapy, to prevent further decline and disability [92,93].

Lastly, patients with severe depressive symptoms reported limited access to social and financial resources. Their level of social connectedness ($M = 2.5$ of 12 possible), combined with the fact that nearly half identified as unmarried, is concerning given the need for adequate social support when coping with cancer. Indeed, lower levels of social support from family and friends are associated with worse emotional and physical aspects of quality of life for patients with lung cancer [94], and unmarried patients are known to die earlier than married patients [95]. Of additional concern, one third of these patients with severe depressive symptoms reported income levels below the state poverty threshold for a family of four. The financial burden imposed by cancer is significant, with patients with cancer spending an estimated $976 to $1170 more on out-of-pocket treatment-related expenses in a given year than patients without cancer [81]. Moreover, in lung cancer specifically, financial strain is associated with higher symptom burden, reduced quality of life, and earlier mortality [96,97].

Patients having a moderate severity of depressive symptoms were, as expected, less symptomatic than those with severe depressive symptoms in the majority of the areas assessed. As noted above, 15.4% reported depressed mood and 34.6% reported anhedonia more days than not in the preceding two weeks on the first two PHQ-9 items. If only these two items were used as a screen [33] rather than the full measure, the majority of patients in the moderate group—roughly 70%—would have been missed. In other respects, there were two striking differences between the moderate and severe groups. First was that of GAD symptom severity, with 11.5% of patients in the moderate depressive symptom group having a moderate to severe/severe GAD score versus 73.3% of patients in the severe depressive symptom group. Second, many fewer of the patients with moderate depressive
symptoms had impairments in self-care (7.7 % vs. 33.3 %), mobility (32.7 % vs. 73.3 %), and usual activities (38.5 % vs. 100.0 %). Considering the general observation of patients emotionally improving once cancer treatment begins, that may be more likely for individuals at the moderate depressive symptom level, though not certain, due to their co-occurring problems.

Aspects of study design and method are noted. This is a single institution study, but the case could be made that no single or even multi-institutional study is sufficiently generalizable. There may be differences across the United States, but lung cancer patients share common features, namely, it is largely a disease of smoking and aging. The state from which these patients came has among the highest smoking rates in the U.S. (21.1 % vs. 14 %) and is the 44th lowest in the nation for lung cancer mortality. Also, 50 % of the patients were from rural Appalachia counties. Unlike the majority of lung clinical trials [98], there were no age or functional status exclusions. Regarding the method, diagnostic interviews for depression and anxiety were not used. Yet, the items for both the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 are those of the DSM criteria and both have extensive literatures showing their convergence with interview determinations of MDD and GAD [33,45,99-101]. Supplementing measures for conceptually similar (though not overlapping) constructs—hopelessness and traumatic stress—added description and enhanced the validity of grouping patients into moderate and severe symptom groups. The remaining measures provided descriptive breadth to the difficult circumstances of these patients.

5. Conclusions

The present data speak to the immediate struggles facing patients with advanced NSCLC in the days of diagnosis and the critical importance of screening for depressive symptoms during this period. Patients with significant depressive symptoms display a constellation of anxiety symptoms, traumatic stress, impaired functional status, and physical symptoms—factors that may further exacerbate and/or maintain depression. Given the survival benefits of targeted and immunotherapies, it is crucially important to provide referral and follow-up mental health care to improve the quality of life of patients with depression and aid them to engage in and benefit from new therapies. Without appropriate referral and care, patients’ understanding of their disease will be suboptimal, decision-making and engagement in treatment will be impaired [10,102], tolerance of symptoms and treatment side effects will be lowered, and motivation and efforts to maintain functional status will decline [9,10,103,104].
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Fig. 1.
Flow diagram for the current observational study.
Fig. 2.
Percentage of patients by depressive symptom (PHQ-9) severity groups (none/mild, moderate, severe) reporting symptoms/signs occurring quite a bit/very often in the last week. Note: † Indicates significant difference \((p < .05)\) between none/mild group and others; ‡ Indicates significant difference \((p < .05)\) between moderate group and severe group.
Table 1

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of sample (N = 186).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%); Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sociodemographic:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.5 ± 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>103 (55.4 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian/White</td>
<td>158 (84.9 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
<td>10 (5.4 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (0.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>17 (9.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>3 (1.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High school</td>
<td>25 (13.4 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>69 (37.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; High school</td>
<td>92 (49.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>107 (57.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>45 (24.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income ≤ $25,000 (below Ohio poverty line for family of 4)</td>
<td>40 (21.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer diagnosis:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>135 (72.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous</td>
<td>28 (15.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not otherwise specified</td>
<td>11 (5.9 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cell</td>
<td>4 (2.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosquamous</td>
<td>2 (1.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing/unknown</td>
<td>6 (3.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior psychiatric diagnosis</td>
<td>24 (12.9 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior psychological treatment for psychological distress</td>
<td>58 (31.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior medical treatment for psychological distress</td>
<td>107 (57.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>16 (8.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>137 (73.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>33 (17.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>99 (53.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly or less</td>
<td>37 (19.9 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 times a month</td>
<td>17 (9.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2-3 times a week</td>
<td>33 (17.7 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Psychological and health characteristics by depressive symptom (PHQ-9) severity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1: None/Mild (n = 119)</th>
<th>Group 2: Moderate (n = 52)</th>
<th>Group 3: Severe (n = 15)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Comparisons of PHQ-9 severity groups:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depression:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9 score</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>18.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0-24</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Some difficulties with function due to depression</td>
<td>32 (26.9 %)</td>
<td>32 (61.5 %)</td>
<td>14 (93.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>0 (0.0 %)</td>
<td>2 (3.8 %)</td>
<td>5 (33.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2 &lt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>5.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>8.1 ± 4.2</td>
<td>1-17</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness ≥9</td>
<td>7 (5.9 %)</td>
<td>9 (17.3 %)</td>
<td>7 (46.7 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anxiety:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7 score</td>
<td>3.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>7.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>15.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0-21</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate to severe/severe GAD symptoms</td>
<td>2 (1.7 %)</td>
<td>6 (11.5 %)</td>
<td>11 (73.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Some difficulties with function due to anxiety</td>
<td>24 (20.2 %)</td>
<td>29 (55.8 %)</td>
<td>13 (86.7 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cancer stress:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stress (IES)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 10.0</td>
<td>21.8 ± 12.8</td>
<td>44.1 ± 16.4</td>
<td>0-80</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceptions of lung cancer:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1,2 &lt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1 = 2 = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal control</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1,2 &gt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment control</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1,2 &gt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1 &lt; 3; 2 = 1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherence</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1 = 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional response</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1 &lt; 2 &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional status:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>22 (18.5 %)</td>
<td>17 (32.7 %)</td>
<td>11 (73.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &gt; 2 &gt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>2 (1.7 %)</td>
<td>4 (7.7 %)</td>
<td>5 (33.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 3; 2 = 1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual activities</td>
<td>28 (23.5 %)</td>
<td>20 (38.5 %)</td>
<td>15 (100.0 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2 &gt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain &amp; discomfort</td>
<td>35 (29.4 %)</td>
<td>28 (53.8 %)</td>
<td>10 (66.7 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &lt; 2,3; 2 = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/depression</td>
<td>10 (8.4 %)</td>
<td>11 (21.2 %)</td>
<td>11 (73.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 &gt; 2 &gt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1: None/Mild ($n = 119$)</td>
<td>Group 2: Moderate ($n = 52$)</td>
<td>Group 3: Severe ($n = 15$)</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Comparisons of PHQ-9 severity groups $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perception of health</strong></td>
<td>66.9 ± 22.9</td>
<td>58.8 ± 21.9</td>
<td>40.1 ± 16.5</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>1, 2 &gt; 3; 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Multiple comparisons were completed with Tukey’s test and $\chi^2$ tests adjusted by Holm method for continuous and categorical measures respectively.

$^b$ ≥ moderate problems with functional area.